Matrices, Geometry&Mathematica Authors: Bruce Carpenter, Bill Davis and Jerry Uhl ©2001 Producer: Bruce Carpenter Publisher: Math Everywhere, Inc. MGM.09 Eigensense: Diagonalizable Matrices, Matrix Exponential, Matrix Powers and Dynamical Systems *LITERACY*

In each of the following problems, indicate whether you agree or disagree. You are not asked to give any reasons for your response.

□L.a)

If A is a diagonalizable 3D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are 0.15, -0.52 and 2.74,
then the eigenvalues of E^A¹ are E^{0.151}, E^{-0.521} and E^{2.741}.
Agree:..... Disagree:....

□L.b)

If A is a diagonalizable 3D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are 0.15, -0.52 and 2.74,
then the eigenvalues of A³ are (0.15)³, (-0.52)³ and (2.74)³.
Agree:..... Disagree:....

□L.c)

If A is a diagonalizable 3D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are -0.072,-0.023 and -0.914, Then you are guaranteed that as t gets large $E^{A t}.X \rightarrow \{0, 0, 0\}$ Agree:..... Disagree:....

□ L.d)

If A is a diagonalizable 2D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are 0.52 and −2.74,
then you are guaranteed that as t gets large E^{A1}.X → {0, 0}.
Agree:..... Disagree:....

□L.e)

If A is a diagonalizable 2D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are -0.52 + I 3.84 and -0.52 - I 3.84, then you are guaranteed that as t gets large $E^{A^{1}}X \rightarrow \{0, 0\}$. Agree:..... Disagree:.... **I.I.f**) If A is a diagonalizable 2D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are 0.52 + I 3.84 and 0.52 - I 3.84, then you are guaranteed that as t gets large $E^{A^{1}}X \rightarrow \{0, 0\}$. Agree:..... Disagree:.... **I.I.g**) If A is a diagonalizable 3D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are -0.34, -0.52 + I 0.67 and -0.52 - I 0.67,

If A is a diagonalizable 3D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are -0.34, -0.52 + 10.67 and -0.52 - 10.67, then you are guaranteed that as t gets large E^{A t}.X → {0, 0, 0}.
Agree:..... Disagree:....

\Box L.h)

If A is a diagonalizable 3D matrix and the eigenvalues of A are 0.83, 12.5 and -12.5, then you are guaranteed that as t advances, $E^{A t}.X$ spirals away from {0, 0, 0} (provided X \neq {0, 0, 0}). Agree:.....

□L.i)

If A is a diagonalizable matrix then the eigenvectors of A are the same as the eigenvectors of E^{At} provided $t \neq 0$. Agree:..... Disagree:....

□L.j)

A diagonalizable 2D matrix A calculates out to have eigenvalue[1] = 1.5 with corresponding eigenvector[1] = {0.25, 1.0} and eigenvalue[2] = -2.0 with corresponding eigenvector $[2] = \{0.5, 1.0\}$. so that A.eigenvector[1] = 1.5 eigenvector[1] and A.eigenvector[2] = -2.0 eigenvector[2] Put $\{x[t], y[t]\} = E^{At}$.starter so that $\{x[0], y[0]\} =$ starter If starter is not a multiple of either eigenvector[1] or eigenvector[2], then as t gets large $\frac{y[t]}{x[t]} \rightarrow 4.0.$ Agree:..... Disagree:.... $\Box L.k$) A diagonalizable 2D matrix A calculates out to have eigenvalue[1] = 1.5 with corresponding eigenvector[1] = {0.25, 1.0} eigenvalue[2] = -2.0 with corresponding eigenvector $[2] = \{0.5, 1.0\}$. so that A.eigenvector[1] = 1.5 eigenvector[1] and A.eigenvector[2] = -2.0 eigenvector[2] Put $\{x[k], y[k]\} = A^k$.starter so that $\{x[0], y[0]\} =$ starter. If starter is not a multiple of either eigenvector[1] or eigenvector[2], then as t gets large $\frac{\mathbf{y}[t]}{\mathbf{x}[t]} \rightarrow 4.0.$ Agree:..... Disagree:.... $\Box L.l$) Here's a random 2D matrix A: Clear[i, j, t]; A = Table[Random[Real, {-5, 5}], {i, 1, 2}, {j, 1, 2}]; MatrixForm[A] -2.04185 0.925096 0.276589 2.25256 Here are two calculations - one of which is a correct calculation of EAt: calculation1 = MatrixForm[Simplify[ComplexExpand[E^(At)]]]

```
( e<sup>-2.04185t</sup> e<sup>0.925096t</sup>
e<sup>0.276589t</sup> e<sup>2.25256t</sup> )
calculation2 = MatrixForm[Simplify[ComplexExpand[MatrixExp[At]]]]
```

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0.986678 e^{-2.10063 t} + 0.0133223 e^{2.31134 t} & -0.209679 e^{-2.10063 t} + 0.209679 e^{2.00063 t} + 0.0209679 e^{2.00063 t} + 0.0209679 e^{2.00063 t} + 0.0209679 e^{2.00063 t} + 0.986678 e^{2.00063 t} + 0.0986678 e^{2.00063 t} + 0.986678 e^{2.00063 t} + 0.986678$

```
□L.m)
```

7. 8. 9.

```
Here's a 3D matrix A:

Clear[i, j];

A = {{1.0, 2.0, 3.0}, {4.0, 5.0, 6.0}, {7.0, 8.0, 9.0}};

MatrixForm[A]

(1. 2. 3.

4. 5. 6.
```

Here are two calculations - one of which is correct:

```
calculation1 = A<sup>2</sup>;
MatrixForm[calculation1]
```

(1. 4. 9. 16. 25. 36. 49. 64. 81.) calculation2 = MatrixPower[A, 2]; MatrixForm[calculation2] 30.36.42.66.81.96.102.126.150.

The second calculation is a correct calculation of A.A. Agree:..... Disagree:....

□L.n)

Although the theory of diagonalizable matrices was developed in the Basics in the setting of 2D matrices, the same theory works in the higher dimensions. Agree:..... Disagree:....

□L.0)

If A is a diagonalizable 3D matrix, then your are guaranteed that the eigenvectors of A span all of 3D. Agree:..... Disagree:....

Agree..... Disagree....

□L.p)

If A is a 4D matrix, and the eigenvectors of A do not span all of 4D, then your are guaranteed that A is not diagonalizable. Agree:..... Disagree:....

□L.q)

If A is a diagonalizable matrix and none of the eigenvalues of A is zero, then A is invertible.

Agree:..... Disagree:.....